REMARKS

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed September 29, 2005, Applicant provisionally elects the claims of Group I (Claims 1-6, 7 and 10), with traverse.

The Examiner is requested to reconsider his Restriction Requirement because both groups of claims are drawn to subject matter which are so related to each other that an undue burden would not be placed upon the Examiner by maintaining both groups of claims in a single application. See, e.g., MPEP § 803.

The claims have been amended to make them read better in the English language and, thus, should not be considered as narrowing amendments.

Favorable consideration of this application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: Oct 25, 2005

By: _____
G. Gregory Schivley
Reg. No. 27,382

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600
GGS/slm